UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

Judgment in a Criminal Case

(For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)

Cesar Lopez-Martinez

Case Number: 1:14CR02176-001JB

USM Number: 46318-051

Defense Attorney: Henry de la Garza, Appointed

THE DEFENI	DANT:	,	•	
	guilt to violations of condition(s) Mandat d in violation of condition(s) after denial	_		
The defendant	t is adjudicated guilty of these violations:			
Violation Number	Nature of Violation		Violation Ended	
Mandatory "The defendant committed another fede Condition		eral, state, or local crime."	03/06/2014	
The defendant Reform Act of	t is sentenced as provided in pages 1 throug f 1984.	th 3 of this judgment. The sente	nce is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing	
☐ The defe	ndant has not violated condition(s) and is	discharged as to such violation	(s).	
name, residen	ce, or mailing address until all fines, restitu	tion, costs, and special assessm	or this district within 30 days of any change of tents imposed by this judgment are fully paid. If of material changes in economic circumstances.	
None		August 7, 2014		
Last Four Digits of Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.		Date of Imposition of Judgment		
1980		/s/ James O. Browning		
Defendant's Year of Birth		Signature of Judge	Signature of Judge	
Ciudad Juarez, CU			Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge	
City and State of Defendant's Residence		Name and Title of J	udge	
		September 14, 201	4	
			7	
		Date Signed		

Case 1:14-cr-02176-JB Document 6 Filed 09/15/14 Page 2 of 3

AO 245D (Rev. 12/10) Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations Sheet 2 Imprisonment

Judgment Page 2 of 3

Defendant: Cesar Lopez-Martinez
Case Number: 1:14CR02176-001JB

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 6 months.

One month of said term shall run consecutively and 5 months of said term shall run concurrently to the sentence imposed in 2:14CR01212-001JB.

The Court will not impose a term of supervised release.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 6 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at on as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.
	RETURN
I have	e executed this judgment as follows:
Defer	ndant delivered on to
	at with a certified copy of this judgment.

By

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL